

## **REMARKS**

### **Amendments to the claims**

Claims 1-4 have been amended. New claims 5-11 are added to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention.

The amendments are supported by the application as filed. The new claims are also supported by the application as filed (see, *e.g.*, Figure 1 and the associated portion of the specification at pages 7-10). No new matter has been added by any of the amendments.

Claims 1-11 are now present in this application.

### **Amendment to the specification**

The specification has been amended to correct an obvious error.

### **Discussion**

#### **The Rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112**

Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as the invention.

The claims have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as the invention. In particular, claim 1 has been amended to provide antecedent basis for "the enlarging means" and correct the other informalities noted by the Examiner. Claims 2-4 are likewise amended to correct informalities and tie the elements of the claims together.

The Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the Section 112 rejection of claims 1-4 has been overcome. This rejection should be withdrawn.

The Examiner is thanked for his indication that allowable subject matter is present in this application.

#### **The New Claims**

New claims 5-11 have been added to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as the invention. The addition of the new claims 5-11 does not cause the number of claims presented in this application to exceed the number of independent claims and total number of claims for which Applicants previously paid. Accordingly, no additional fee is believed to be due for the presentation of these claims.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-4 are allowable because the rejection over Section 112, second paragraph, has been overcome as described above and no other rejections are pending. New claims 5-11 are also allowable.

In view of the above, the Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully urge the Examiner to pass this case to issue. The Examiner is respectfully invited to telephone the undersigned attorney as needed in order to advance the examination of this application.

\* \* \*


The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed with an appropriate petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) or the correct petition fee, the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and any additional petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on


Respectfully submitted,

February 28, 2006  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date of Transmission)

Jane Penzell  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name of Person Transmitting)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

February 28, 2006  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Dabney Eastham  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 31,247  
LADAS & PARRY LLP  
5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, California 90036  
(323) 934-2300 voice  
(323) 934-0202 facsimile  
reastham@ladasparry.com